IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR NO.592 of 2007
DATE OF DECISION: February 1, 2007

Sunil Kumar and another

….Petitioners.

VERSUS

Mandir Nain Sukh Dass Charitable Trust Society and others

….Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

PRESENT: Shri Aksh Deep Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

The application filed by the petitioners under Order 1 Rule 10 for being impleaded as parties in a suit filed by respondents No.1 and 2 has been rejected by the trial Court.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioners and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, I find that in view of the fact that the plaintiffs are claiming themselves to be worshippers and claim interest in the maintenance of the Mandir, they have an independent right of filing a civil suit.

No case for interference by this Court in the revisional jurisdiction is made out.

Dismissed.

February 1, 2007
KD

(Viney Mittal)
Judge